

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viiginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.    | FILING DATE                                    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR    | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--------------------|--|-------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/073,899         | 02/14/2002                                     | Tor Slettnes            | 7414.0054-00          | 1825             |  |
| 22852              | 7590 09/25/2003                                |                         | •                     |                  |  |
|                    | FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER |                         |                       | EXAMINER         |  |
| LLP<br>1300 I STRE | •  |                         | LUU, THANH X          |                  |  |
| WASHINGT           | ON, DC 20005                                   |                         | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER |                  |  |
|                    |  |                         | 2878                  |                  |  |
|                    |  | DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003 |                       |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |             |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|-------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 10/073,899   | SLETTNES, TOR   |             |  |  |  |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |             |  |  |  |
|  | Thanh X Luu  | 2878  |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address  |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | THIS APPLICATION IN CONDI<br>woid abandonment of this applic<br>1) a timely filed amendment whi<br>al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time | TION FOR ALLOW  | ANCE.       |  |  |  |
|  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |   |             |  |  |  |
| a) An The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of this Adv   | the final rejection.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions. | an SIX MOIN HS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1                       | f the final rejection.  FINAL REJECTION. S  36(a) and the appropriate | See MPEP    |  |  |  |
| (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  | statutory period for reply originally set in the niths after the mailing date of the final reje  | the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,             | /a\         |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:  |   |             |  |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further   | er consideration and/or search (s  | see NOTE below);  |             |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>  |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | tion(s):   |   |             |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |  | eparate, timely filed   | amendment   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Applicant's arguments are not persuasive</u>.</li> </ul>                                       |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because by the Examiner in the final rejection.   |  |   | re newly    |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended claims wo</li></ul>   | (s) a) will not be entered or b)   | ☑ will be entered a   | and an      |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | , p  | a appointed.  |             |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>31-44,48-51,54-59 and 62-65</u> .   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>2-4,11,12,22-25,28-30,45-47,52</u>  | 2,53,60 and 61.  |   |             |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5-10,13-21,26 and 27</u> .   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>13 Augus</u>  | <i>t 2003</i> is a)⊠ approved or b)□   | disapproved by t  | he Examiner |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:   |  |   |             |  |  |  |
|  |  | THAM'TX. LL   | OR O        |  |  |  |
|  |  | IMAKE IKETAN  |             |  |  |  |